



Engender
Equality

**2025 Brief Intervention Pilot Program
Evaluation Report**

April 2026

Contents

- Background.....2
- Brief Intervention Program Overview.....2
 - The Brief Intervention Pilot Program (BIPP)2
 - Program Structure2
 - Core therapeutic components.....3
 - Resourcing3
- Evaluation Methodology3
 - Safe and Equal Outcomes Framework for Specialist Family Violence Services4
- Outputs5
- Indicators and Data6
 - Client self-evaluation of BIPP effectiveness on meeting their presenting needs6
 - Percentage of clients completing BIPP who chose not to engage with medium to long-term counselling9
 - Average number of counselling sessions attended by each client who participated in the BIPP9
 - Numbers of clients engaging or not engaging with BIPP per client circumstance 10
 - Client insights and feedback on their experience with BIPP..... 10
 - Insights from Engender’s Brief Intervention Team..... 12
- Findings 12
 - How effectively does the BIPP address clients’ presenting needs? 12
 - Does the BIPP address the needs of individuals seeking assistance without requiring medium to long-term counselling interventions? 13
 - What client circumstances and presentations are best supported by a Brief Intervention model of support?..... 13
 - What changes or improvements are needed to ensure the BIPP remains a sustainable and inclusive program?..... 15
- Next Steps..... 15
- Appendix A - BIPP Client Outcomes Survey 16

Background

Engender Equality is Tasmania's statewide provider of therapeutic services for victim-survivors recovering and healing from family violence, primarily delivered through medium to long-term therapeutic counselling. At present, there are approximately 200 people on Engender's waitlist statewide, with an average wait time of 24 months in the South, and 12 months in the North and North West.

These disturbing and heartbreaking numbers continue to grow, year on year, as growth in demand from victim-survivors outstrips Engender's funding from the State Government. The negative and often irreversible impacts of such long wait-times on victim-survivors, and their children, are well documented (see Engender's 2026 report 'Delayed Support, Heightened Harm: The Impacts of Long Wait Times for Specialist Family Violence Services').

Engender strives to deliver relevant and responsive therapeutic services to Tasmanians who have experienced intimate partner violence, where all people accessing our services receive timely and tailored support, suitable for their needs.

To be an effective support service for victim-survivors of intimate partner violence, Engender recognised the need to diversify our therapeutic services to include a short-term counselling response. It was anticipated that this approach would better support people who will benefit from a responsive and short-term counselling intervention whilst also serving to reduce our wait time for medium to longer term counselling.

In 2025, Engender used its cash reserves to pilot a therapeutically beneficial and cost-effective program to test this theory. This program was called the 'Brief Intervention Pilot Program' or 'BIPP'.

Brief Intervention Program Overview

The Brief Intervention Pilot Program (BIPP)

The BIPP was an initiative designed to offer short-term responsive counselling for individuals in Tasmania aged 15 and older who have experienced intimate partner violence.

Program Structure

After completing an intake for Engender's Therapeutic Services, eligible clients were offered the BIPP program, with their first appointment arranged soon after this initial contact with our service.

BIPP clients could access up to six (6) single 90-minute sessions over six (6) months. At the end of this time, clients could:

- Conclude their engagement with Engender Equality's Therapeutic Services.

- Be placed on the waitlist for medium to long-term counselling.
- Be placed on the waitlist for group programs.
- Be referred to another service.

The BIPP was offered to Engender clients from all regions of Tasmania, delivered in person, via Zoom or over the phone.

The program did not hold a waitlist to maintain its key feature of responsiveness. In periods where BIPP practitioners had a full case load, the BIPP program was 'closed' and not offered to any new clients.

When open, BIPP was offered to all clients eligible for Engender's Therapeutic Services who also met one or more of the additional eligibility criteria:

- No longer being in a relationship with the Person Using Violence (PUV) and not experiencing post-separation violence or abuse.
- Questioning whether they are experiencing intimate partner violence.
- Misidentified by police as the predominant aggressor.
- At risk of, or having recently had, their children removed.
- A returning Engender client who meets other relevant criteria.
- At risk of manipulation, coercion, or returning to a relationship with the PUV.
- Recently moved into a shelter (excluding the Hobart Women's Shelter).

This eligibility criteria was designed to test the client circumstances best supported by the BIPP.

Core therapeutic components

This client-led program used a single-session thinking approach along with various other interventions within Engender's existing therapeutic framework, including:

- Comprehensive family violence risk assessments and safety planning.
- Psychoeducation about the nature and dynamics of family violence.
- Identification and understanding of the impacts of family violence.
- Referrals to other appropriate services.

Resourcing

The BIPP was delivered by three (3) part-time Engender Family Violence Practitioners (1.3FTE) over a one-year period. Significant management, supervision, and software investment were contributed by Engender to enable the development and evaluation of this program.

Evaluation Methodology

To determine whether BIPP was successful in meeting the needs of clients and reducing the overall wait times for medium to long-term counselling, an internal formative evaluation was undertaken. The purpose of this evaluation was to equip Engender's management team with the necessary information to make informed

decisions regarding the program's design, performance, and future. The objectives of the formative evaluation were:

- To assess if the BIPP addressed clients' needs effectively, reducing the necessity for medium to long-term counselling interventions.
- To identify what client circumstances are best supported through a Brief Intervention model of support.
- To determine any necessary changes or refinements to the BIPP to ensure its sustainability as an ongoing program.

The scope of this formative evaluation was to gather, analyse and report data from and about Engender's clients and staff participating in the BIPP. While there were other interested stakeholders, such as Engender's board members, representatives from funding bodies, and other agencies and providers, these groups are considered outside the scope given the available resources for conducting the evaluation.

Safe and Equal Outcomes Framework for Specialist Family Violence Services

After considering a wide range of outcomes measurement tools when designing our evaluation methodology, we chose to adopt the Foundational Family Violence Services Outcomes Framework developed by Safe and Equal in partnership with survivor advocates in 2022¹.

This framework, although still in a foundational phase, was aligned with our evaluation approach because it:

- Was co-designed by victim-survivors, centering lived experience.
- Focuses on accountability of family violence services to victim-survivors.
- Takes an intersectional feminist approach to outcomes measurement
- Includes both qualitative and quantitative data collection.
- Is accessible and easily adaptable to our context.

The framework, in its current form, has three elements:


Enabling conditions: the characteristics of the client-worker relationship that will allow for client outcomes to be achieved. These are Trust, Client voice, Transparency, and Safety.

Client outcomes: the specific changes that we hope to see in a client's circumstances and experience as a direct result of engaging with a particular service. These are: Connection, personal power, and wellbeing.

¹ Safe and Equal 2022, 'Co-designing the foundations for a client outcomes framework for specialist family violence services – September 2022/ Project Closure Report', [REP_CoDesigning-the-foundations-for-a-client-outcomes-framework_Sept22_FINAL.pdf](#)

Questionnaire: A survey tool to capture data from clients relating to both the enabling conditions and client outcomes.

Outputs



Indicators and Data

To measure the effectiveness of Brief Intervention Counselling as a means of addressing the presenting needs of Engender clients we used the following indicators:

Client self-evaluation of BIPP effectiveness on meeting their presenting needs

We collected client self-evaluations through the 'BIPP Client Outcomes Survey' ([Appendix A](#)) which was based on the Safe and Equal Client Outcomes Survey². This


² Safe and Equal 2022, 'Co-designing the foundations for a client outcomes framework for specialist family violence services – September 2022/ Project Closure Report', pp. 27-30 [REP_CoDesigning-the-foundations-for-a-client-outcomes-framework_Sept22_FINAL.pdf](#)

survey was provided to clients to complete at the end of each BIPP counselling session.

The Client Outcomes Survey asked clients to rate, on a scale of 1 to 10, their satisfaction with the effect of the BIPP on their wellbeing. On average, BIPP clients rated their satisfaction with the effect of the BIP program on their wellbeing at 9.6 out of 10.

Clients were asked also to respond to how the BIPP impacted them across a range of wellbeing domains on a Likert Scale.

These responses were then collated and analysed against the Safe and Equal Outcomes Framework Client Outcomes Domains of connection, personal power and wellbeing. The results are summarised in the below table:



The survey also collected qualitative data about how the BIPP impacted a client's life through the open-ended questions:

- Is there anything else you would like to say about your experience of accessing the Brief Intervention Program?
- Is there anything else you would like to say about how the Brief Intervention Program has affected your life?

The key themes to emerge from the responses were:

Appreciation for the structure of the Brief Intervention Program

"I think this is a fantastic program. I think a lot of people will benefit from this as it's less pressure to be locked into a long-term commitment."

"Thankful for adaptability to my needs (time, place, content etc.) as overwhelm in forging a new life/keeping safe means any barriers can be too much."

"It allows me to feel more in control of my sessions with less stress/fear attached."

Uniqueness of the Brief Intervention Program

"I felt safe, seen and understood. There were things I didn't have to explain which meant that I could talk about deeper things straight away. I find that lots of people don't understand FV or sexual assault in marriage - this felt different and that made me feel confident to share authentically."

"I feel very grateful. The support I have had through Engender is like nothing I have experienced before. To speak to someone and feel so held, validated and encouraged has helped me so much. There is no other service that compares. Professional yet so personal and caring. Thank you."

Appreciation for the timeliness of the Brief Intervention Program

"It was available at times I really needed to speak with someone."

"So glad to have the opportunity to have these sessions given the wait to get in."

"It was only a very short timeframe, approximately 2 weeks between completing my self-referral to going through the processes and receiving my first session with my practitioner at a time when I felt very fragile, exhausted, vulnerable and socially isolated. This was really meaningful and validating to me, that the urgency for my need for support was recognised by this service and access was provided so quickly."

Appreciation for the practitioner and quality of service

"My worker was kind, respectful, understanding and empathetic of my situation. Information provided was appropriate and relevant."

"I felt so supported in my journey and so much more informed about my rights and finally getting the validation I needed about what I was/have been through."

"The incredible nature of the worker helped me to feel at ease. It was very strange actually feeling safe."

"It has been a breath of fresh air to discuss my situation with someone who could reflect back what I was saying. I felt heard."

Attribution of positive life improvements to the Brief Intervention Program

"This is generally the first time I've had hope this year."

"I am incredibly grateful to have been offered access to this program. Without this program I believe my relationships would be impacted significantly, possibly irreparably."

"Helped me to manage enough to stay at work and not become homeless again."

"I really am grateful. honestly probably wouldn't still be alive and kicking without her support."

"It has likely positively impacted my marriage and family life and empowered myself and my children."


"It has allowed me to have a voice; it's allowed me to see myself as a survivor."

"The assurance & positivity that I have never had in my life. The motivation & courage that I could move on in life and I deserve to live a better life."

"The techniques for calming my nervous system have been instrumental in helping me prepare for mediation and calming down afterwards; they've helped me to engage with other services a little bit more. It's helped remove some trauma so I can think and remember a bit more."

Percentage of clients completing BIPP who chose not to engage with medium to long-term counselling

At the conclusion of a BIPP engagement, each client was given the opportunity to go on the waitlist for Engender's medium to long-term counselling program (with wait times varying from 6-18 months depending on location). Each response was recorded throughout the pilot. Overall, 47% of clients who engaged with BIPP did not go on the waitlist for medium to long-term counselling.



Average number of counselling sessions attended by each client who participated in the BIPP


Each BIPP client was entitled to six (6) counselling sessions over a period of six (6) months. The number of attended BIPP sessions per client was recorded in our client management database. Three (3) was the average number of BIPP sessions attended per client. It is worth noting that client session data included the BIPP follow-up calls, so it is likely the average BIPP counselling sessions attended per client was less than three.

Numbers of clients engaging or not engaging with BIPP per client circumstance

To determine the client circumstances best supported by Brief Intervention Counselling, we collected data relating to engagement with the program based on seven (7) key presenting needs. These seven (7) categories were chosen based on our assumptions of client circumstances that would be effectively addressed through the BIPP, and the purpose of our data collection was to test these assumptions.

Our Intake Team conducted an internal assessment for each referral into Engender’s Therapeutic Services to determine which presenting need best fit the client's circumstance. We then collected data on each client's choice to either decline or accept the BIPP program when offered the opportunity to engage, based on their presenting need.

The results of this data collection are reflected in the below graph:




Client insights and feedback on their experience with BIPP

We collected client insights and feedback through the 'BIPP Client Outcomes Survey' which is based on the Safe and Equal Client Outcomes Survey³. This survey was provided to clients to complete at the end of each BIPP counselling session.

Clients were asked to respond to their feelings towards a range of statements on the delivery of BIPP on a Likert Scale. For example, 'The services were delivered in a way that prioritised my safety'.

These responses were then collated and analysed against the Safe and Equal Outcomes Framework Enabling Factors of transparency, trust, client voice and safety. The results are summarised in the below table:



The survey also collected qualitative data about client's experience of BIPP and their ideas for improving the program through the open-ended question:

- What suggestions, if any, do you have for how the Brief Intervention Program could improve?

The key themes to emerge from the responses were:

Call to continue the Brief Intervention Program beyond the 12-month pilot.

"I think that what is already being offered in the six sessions is really significant and incredibly important. I don't have any suggestions to make it better other than I hope it becomes a permanent part of the service."

³ Safe and Equal 2022, 'Co-designing the foundations for a client outcomes framework for specialist family violence services – September 2022/ Project Closure Report', pp. 27-30 [REP_CoDesigning-the-foundations-for-a-client-outcomes-framework_Sept22_FINAL.pdf](#)

Call to expand the scope of the Brief Intervention Program

"I wish the sessions could continue. It would be good to have 12 instead of 6."

Call to increase the capacity of the Brief Intervention Program

"The program is tremendously helpful to help me get through and I wish there would be more to help many others around."

"Please continue funding for this vital service."

Insights from Engender's Brief Intervention Team

The insights from the BIPP team are embedded into all aspects of this evaluation. Throughout the pilot, the Brief Intervention Team met weekly to ensure all new systems and processes put in place to integrate the BIPP into Engender's Therapeutic Services were routinely tested and troubleshooted. The BIPP team consisted of:

- 2 x Therapeutic Practitioners who delivered the BIPP to clients
- 1 x Clinical Practice Supervisor who provided supervision to the Therapeutic Practitioners and delivered the BIPP to clients
- 1 x Intake Practitioner who managed all referrals and assessments for the BIPP
- 1 x Practice Manager who provided strategic oversight and operational support.

These meetings enabled the BIPP team to collaboratively design and deliver the BIPP in a dynamic way that was consistently responsive and adaptive to learnings in real time.

Findings

How effectively does the BIPP address clients' presenting needs?

The Brief Intervention Pilot Program (BIPP) effectively addressed the presenting needs of a substantial proportion of clients through timely, short-term therapeutic intervention. Client outcome data indicated consistently high levels of perceived benefit, with participants rating their satisfaction with the impact of BIPP on their wellbeing at an average of 9.6 out of 10, demonstrating strong alignment between client needs and program response.

Quantitative analysis of client outcome surveys shows meaningful improvements across the Safe and Equal Outcomes Framework domains of connection, personal power, and wellbeing, indicating that BIPP supported both immediate stabilisation and positive psychosocial change for clients engaged in the program. Qualitative

feedback further reinforced these findings, with clients attributing increased emotional regulation, enhanced safety, improved clarity, and renewed hope directly to their engagement with BIPP.

Importantly, BIPP reduced demand for ongoing therapeutic services for many clients. Almost half (47%) of clients who completed the program chose not to progress to Engender's medium to long-term counselling waitlist, suggesting that their presenting needs were sufficiently addressed through brief intervention alone. This outcome demonstrates the program's effectiveness in functioning as a stand-alone intervention for clients whose circumstances were suited to short-term, responsive support.

Does the BIPP address the needs of individuals seeking assistance without requiring medium to long-term counselling interventions?

Evidence from the pilot indicates that BIPP effectively addressed the needs of a significant proportion of clients without requiring subsequent engagement in medium to long-term counselling. Almost half (47%) of clients who completed BIPP chose not to progress to Engender's medium to long-term counselling waitlist, suggesting that their presenting needs were sufficiently addressed through short-term intervention alone.

The program was particularly effective for clients presenting with acute or emergent circumstances, such as immediate external stressors, uncertainty about whether they were experiencing intimate partner violence, or the need for timely validation and decision-making support. In these contexts, BIPP functioned as a stand-alone intervention that stabilised risk, supported insight and agency, and enabled clients to identify and pursue appropriate next steps without the need for longer term counselling.

Overall, these findings indicate that BIPP successfully met the needs of individuals whose circumstances were suited to brief, responsive therapeutic intervention, thereby reducing demand on medium to long-term counselling services and contributing to system efficiency while maintaining strong client outcomes.

What client circumstances and presentations are best supported by a Brief Intervention model of support?

The BIPP effectively supported clients to stabilise acute circumstances and make sense of their experiences of intimate partner violence through timely, short-term

therapeutic intervention. Clients presenting with immediate external stressors reported meaningful improvements in safety, emotional regulation, and decision-making capacity as a result of their engagement with BIPP.

The program was particularly effective in supporting clients to navigate periods of heightened risk and complexity, including those who had been misidentified as the predominant aggressor, those experiencing a recent or imminent threat of child removal, and those transitioning into short-term or crisis accommodation. In these contexts, BIPP contributed to increased clarity, validation, and practical support at critical points of intervention.

BIPP also demonstrated strong outcomes for clients who were questioning or seeking to clarify whether their experiences within current or previous relationships constituted intimate partner violence. Engagement with the program supported increased insight, confidence, and personal agency, enabling clients to better understand their experiences and identify appropriate next steps.

BIPP was less suitable for clients seeking support primarily for historic experiences of intimate partner violence, or for those assessed as being at risk of returning to a violent relationship at the time of referral. Clients who had previously accessed Engender's medium to long-term counselling services were also less likely to engage with the program. It is hypothesised that these client groups may require a greater level of therapeutic continuity, relational depth, and sustained support than can be provided within a brief intervention model.

For clients with complex trauma histories or ongoing relational risk, therapeutic change may depend on longer term engagement to address entrenched patterns, safety planning, and recovery needs. Similarly, clients returning to service following prior engagement with medium to long-term counselling may perceive brief intervention as insufficient to meet their needs or may prioritise continuity within a therapeutic model they are familiar with.

These findings suggest that while BIPP is effective for addressing acute and emergent needs, it is most beneficial when positioned as a targeted early or crisis responsive intervention rather than a substitute for longer term therapeutic support for complex or ongoing presentations.

What changes or improvements are needed to ensure the BIPP remains a sustainable and inclusive program?

Findings from the pilot indicate that Brief Intervention Counselling is both effective and highly valued by clients; however, several refinements are required to ensure its long-term sustainability, accessibility, and equitable reach.

Embed BIP (Brief Intervention Program) as a core, ongoing component of Therapeutic Services

Integrating BIP as a permanent element of Engender's Therapeutic Services would support continuity, reduce reliance on time-limited pilot funding, and enable strategic resourcing and workforce planning.

Increase program capacity to maintain responsiveness

During the pilot, 14% of eligible clients were unable to access BIP because the program was closed due to capacity constraints. Sustained funding to increase practitioner capacity is required to preserve BIP's defining feature, timely access, particularly for clients presenting with acute needs.

Strengthen practitioner support and program infrastructure

Sustained delivery of BIP requires ongoing clinical supervision, regular team meetings, and dedicated practice development spaces. Investment in practitioner support structures is critical to prevent burnout, maintain quality practice, and ensure consistent delivery of trauma-informed, client-led interventions across regions.

Maintain and strengthen outcomes monitoring

Continued collection of client outcome surveys is essential to ensure accountability and inform iterative program improvement. Improving survey completion rates, particularly for counselling sessions rather than follow-up calls, would strengthen the evidence base and support ongoing evaluation of effectiveness, equity, and client experience.

Next Steps

Following the pilot period, the Brief Intervention Program (BIP) will be embedded as a core component of Engender Equality's Therapeutic Services. The program will be offered face-to-face in the North and North West regions, with eligibility criteria removed to allow for greater inclusivity. Ongoing program quality and workforce support will be maintained through quarterly Single Session Therapy (SST) practice

groups, regular BIP team meetings, and weekly review and allocation of BIP clients at intake meetings. Client Outcomes Surveys will continue to be collected after each BIP session to support ongoing monitoring and evaluation. Where clients engage in both BIP and medium to long-term counselling, they will be supported by different practitioners to maintain program integrity and clarity of therapeutic focus.

Engender will continue to advocate for increased funding to expand our capacity to deliver Brief Intervention Counselling as part of our Therapeutic Services, as it is a proven cost effective solution to the harm caused by long wait times, benefitting not only many victim-survivors and their children, but also reducing demand on high cost acute and emergency services across Tasmania's health, justice and child protection services.

Appendix A - BIPP Client Outcomes Survey

CLIENT OUTCOME SURVEY



You are invited to share your views on the Brief Intervention Program delivered by Engender Equality. This survey is important because it helps us understand how you are going and to make decisions about how we provide services in the future for the benefit of our clients. You do not have to do the survey, but we value what you have to say and would appreciate you taking the time to let us know how you are going. Your responses are anonymous and confidential and will not affect your access to services in any way. There are no wrong answers, so please answer as honestly as possible. If there are any questions you do not wish to answer, feel free to skip to the next question. The survey will take about 8 minutes, depending on your answers. Thank you for taking the time to share your experience.

Your Experience of Accessing Services:

How many Brief Intervention counselling sessions have you attended so far?

1 2 3 4 5 6

To help us understand more about your experience of the Brief Intervention Pilot Program tick the response that best describes your feelings for each of the following statements:

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral or N/A	Agree	Strongly Agree
The services were delivered in a way that prioritised my safety	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
I had a say in decisions about the services I received	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Changes or improvements were made in response to my feedback to the service	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
I understood what the service could and could not do	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
I had enough information to make decisions about the services I received	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
The service followed up on agreed actions	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
I felt that I could trust my worker/s	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
I felt that I could trust the service	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral or N/A	Agree	Strongly Agree
I understood who had access to my information and how it would be used	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

My cultural beliefs were respected	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
------------------------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------

Is there anything else you would like to say about your experience of accessing the Brief Intervention Program.

What suggestions, if any, do you have for how the Brief Intervention Program could improve?

The Brief Intervention Program has contributed to me

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral or N/A	Agree	Strongly Agree
Feeling less socially isolated	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Feeling like I could develop relationships in the community	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Feeling like I could develop relationships with support services	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Feeling better able to identify respectful relationships	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Feeling better able to look after my physical health	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Feeling better able to look after my emotional health	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Feeling better equipped to manage my own safety	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Feeling better able to achieve my goals	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Strongly Disagree Disagree Neutral or N/A Agree Strongly Agree

Feeling more confident in advocating for myself

Feeling more aware of potential family violence risks

Feeling like I could develop relationships with support services

Is there anything else you would like to say about how the Brief Intervention program has affected your life?

On a scale of 1 to 10, how satisfied are you with the effect of the Brief Intervention Program on your wellbeing? Tick the response that applies to you. Select ONE response only:

Not at all satisfied

Moderately satisfied

Extremely satisfied

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

Please tell us about yourself:

These questions will help us understand more about service users, and what works or does not work for different types of people. If there are any questions you do not wish to answer, please skip to the next question.

What is your age?

Under 18 18-29 30-39 40-49 50-59 60-69 70 and over

Gender

- Female Non-Binary Male Prefer not to say

Do you identify as Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander?

- Yes No

Do you identify as lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender or gender diverse, queer, intersex, or asexual

- Yes No Prefer not say

What is the main language you speak at home?

- English Other (please specify) _____

Do you have a disability, impairment or health condition that affects your day-to-day life?

- Yes No Prefer not say

Consent to be contacted:

Please provide your details below if you consent for Engender Equality if there is related research being done.

Name: _____

Phone number: _____

Email: _____

Instructions about being contacted: _____

Thank you for completing this survey.

If this survey raises questions or causes any distress, or you would like to seek assistance, please contact 1800RESPECT (1800 737 732), a national, 24-hour counselling service to support people impacted by sexual assault, domestic or family violence and abuse.